



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/735,590	12/12/2003	Dan Jones	45098.00014.UTL1	1757
67670	7590	07/03/2007		
Paul Hastings Janofsky & Walker LLP 3579 Valley Centre Drive San Diego, CA 92130			EXAMINER SERRAO, RANODHI N	
			ART UNIT 2141	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 07/03/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/735,590	<b>Applicant(s)</b> JONES ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Ranodhi Serrao	<b>Art Unit</b> 2141	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Ranodhi Serrao. (3) Ericka A. Jacobs.  
 (2) Amy Simpson, Reg. No. 54688. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 21 June 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 95, and 102.

Identification of prior art discussed: Reisman (2003/0229900) and Salesky et al. (2005/0080850).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant proposed amendments to claim 1 and discussed how the prior art of record fail to teach the limitations of claims 95 and 102. The examiner will consider all remarks, arguments, and amendments at the time of filing.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Ranodhi Serrao  
 Examiner's signature, if required